UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN FILED
Ruffin L. Parker, 15405-040 July 14, 2020 10:45 AM CLERK OF COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
BY: _mkc_ SCANNED BY: J4 / 7-1
(Enter above the full names of all plaintiffs, including prisoner number, in this action.)
Chief District Judge Paul L. Maloney Public Attorney Paul L Maloney - U.S. District Judge
Daniel R. Fagan U.S. Assistantant's B. Rene Shekmer Phillip J. Green - U.S. Magistrate Judg
And Jennifer L. Memanus
(Enter above the full name of the defendant or defendants in this action.)
COMPLAINT
I. Previous Lawsuits
CAUTION: The Prison Litigation Reform Act has resulted in substantial changes in the ability of incarcerated individuals to initiate lawsuits in this and other federal courts without prepayment of the civil action filing fee. Accurate and complete responses are required concerning your litigation history. Generally, a plaintiff's failure to accurately and completely answer the questions set forth below will result in denial of the privilege of proceeding in forma pauperis and require you to pay the entire \$400.00 filing fee regardless of whether your complaint is dismissed.
A. Have you ever filed a lawsuit while incarcerated or detained in any prison or jail facility? Yes □ No ■
B. If your answer to question A was yes, for each lawsuit you have filed you must answer questions 1 through 5 below Attach additional sheets as necessary to answer questions 1 through 5 below with regard to each lawsuit.
 Identify the court in which the lawsuit was filed. If it was a state court, identify the county in which the suit was filed. If the lawsuit was filed in federal court, identify the district within which the lawsuit was filed.
2. Is the action still pending? Yes □ No ■
a. If your answer was no, state precisely how the action was resolved:
3. Did you appeal the decision? Yes ■ No □
4. Is the appeal still pending? Yes ■ No □
a. If not pending, what was the decision on appeal?
5. Was the previous lawsuit based upon the same or similar facts asserted in this lawsuit? Yes □ No ■ If so, explain:
II. Place of Present Confinement Ashland KY, Federal Correctional Institution
If the place of present confinement is not the place you were confined when the occurrence that is subject of instant lawsuit arose, also list the place you were confined:

Ill. Parties

A.	P	aint	i	ff	S
4 2.		CHILL	W.	TY/	O ;

Place your name in the first blank and your present address in the second blank. Provide the same information for any additional plaintiffs. Attach extra sheets as necessary.
Name of Plaintiff Ruffin L. Parker 15405-040
Address U.S. District Court 399 Federal Building 110 Michigan St N.W. Grand Rapids MI
B. Defendant(s)
Complete the information requested below for each defendant in this action, including whether you are suing each defendant in an official and/or personal capacity. If there are more than four defendants, provide the same information for each additional defendant. Attach extra sheets as necessary.
Name of Defendant #1 Chief United States District Judge Paul L. Maloney
Parking mid Chair T. L.
Place of Employment United States District Court Western District of Michigan
Address United States District Court 110 Michigan St N.W. Grand Rapids, MI 49503
Official and/or personal capacity?
Name of Defendant #2 Daniel R. Fagan
Partition and Accordance Of
Place of Employment The Steepleview Building Address 429 Turner, N.W. Grand Rapids, MI 49504
Official and/or personal capacity?
Name of Defendant #3 B. Rene Shekmer
Position or Title Assistant United States Attorney
Place of Employment Assistant United States Attorney
Address P.O. Box 208 Grand Rapids, MI 49501
Official and/or personal capacity?
Name of Defendant #4 Jennifer L. McManus
Position or Title United States Attorney
Place of Employment Andrew Byerly Birge United States Attorney
Address P.O. Box 208 Grand Rapids, MI 49501
Official and/or personal capacity?
Name of Defendant #5
Position or Title
Place of Employment
Address
Official and/or personal capacity?

Case 1:20-cv-00636-PJG ECF No. 1 filed 07/14/20 PageID.3 Page 3 of 8

IV. Statement of Claim

State here the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is personally involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a num ber of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate para graph. Use as much space as you need. Atta ch extra sheets if necessary.

When I got indicted the court appointed Mr. Fagan to represent me in my sentencing, Ms. Shekmer called my cell Phone and hung up with her. I called my lawyer to let him know what he knew her very well and she would never call and threaten me. At my CPS he wasn't. hearing he to get more time added on my sentence. The guy there asked Mr. Fagan is everything in my past was right. He told him yes it was and then Stop him for putting my past on there. Mr. Fagan looked at me and said NO he knew what he was doing I had nothing to do with the indictment and for me and at my sentence Mr. Fagan told friends and went to the same law school tage Mr. Fagan, Ms. Shekmer and the DEA Agent who arrested here by on my civil rights Act 42 U.S.C. with related claim and indic that here by conviction and indictment involving injustice of the Advisory Guideline, plain error under the supreme court Penalties and also due process clause under the 14th Amendment and 5th under the prison Litigation Reform of Incarcerated. I would like to proceed my torma pauperis under multiple civil rights violation of the law. indictment and drug conspiracy that triggered a Statutury scheme under involving Statutory penalty of the 841-6-1 Defendants. So, here by under the 1983 U.S.C. testimony and civil rights by the court of L. Maloney and public Attorney Daniel my sentencing Judge Chief District Attorney Rene Skelmer and Jennifer L. McManus.

V. Relief

State briefly and precisely what you want the court to do for you.

I would like for this civil action to Authorized this U.S.C. 42 Section 1983 to redress the deprivation under color of State Low of rights secured by the constitution of the U.S. The Court has the jurisdiction under 28 V.S.C. section 1331 and 1343-A-3 declatory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 2201 and 2202. I, the Plaintiff claims for injunctive relief that are authorized by U.S.C. section 2283 and 2284 and Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures. This is why I want relief from prison. Discrimination rights to a Higher Court Constitutional issue Due process violation constitutional Amendments 14th and 5th. I would like the courts to seek checkaratory relief considering my prison Sentence.

7-7-2020

Signature of Plaintiff

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF(S)

The failure of a pro se litigant to keep the court apprised of an address change may be considered cause for dismissal.

So here by upage 122-currolass-Rugional No. actilize 97/26/200 epageibest pagets of Supreme court vance vs. United States 567 U.S. 949-2012 "State" that F.S.A. and the Amendment do apply retroactively under the section 3582-C-2 U.S. is vance imposed the 3553-A provision that's qualify several carrer offender statutory range of punishment Basedon the F.S.A. partial minimum mandatory sentence further "reduction". Truth of my case is my Chief Judge Paul L. Maloney and District Attorneys B. Rene Skelumer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not cooperate with the courts so they making everything hard that eam filing in the District Court. the courts so they making everything hard that eam filing in the District Court. Also they want answers any of my motions for relief and also want to transfliso they want answers any of my motions for relief and also want to the fer any motion to the appeals Court are give me any purported to another to the Appeal Court. My Sentence Judge Paul L. Maloney and the U.S. District Attorneys Appeal Court, My Sentence Judge Paul L. Maloney and the U.S. District Attorneys Appeal Court, all my Civil and Constitutional Rights, this why am Proceeding this motion U.S.C. 421983 informa Pauperis for relief.

So here by Canalization obdiscense titoting a triberaritation of age 18.6 Prage of offices Supreme court vance vs United States 567 U.S. 949-2012 "State" that F.S. A. and the 750 Amendment do apply retroactively under the section 3582-62 U.S. vs Vance imposed the 3553-A provision that's qualify several carrier offender Statutory range of punishment Based on the F.S. A. Partial Minimum Mandatory Sentence Further "reduction". Truth of my case is my Chief Judge Paul L. Maloney and District Attorneys tien". Truth of my case is my Chief Judge Paul L. Maloney and District Attorneys B. Rene Skekmer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not coop. B. Rene Skekmer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not coop. B. Rene Skekmer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not coop. B. Rene Skekmer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not coop. B. Rene Skekmer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not coop. B. Rene Skekmer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not coop. B. Rene Skekmer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not coop. B. Rene Skekmer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not coop. B. Rene Skekmer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not coop. B. Rene Skekmer and Jennifer L. McManus was "upset" because I did not coop. B. Rene Skekmer and Film of the courts so they making hard that am Ciling in the erate with the courts so they making everything hard that am Ciling in the erate with the courts so they making everything hard that am Ciling in the erate with the courts so they making everything hard that am Ciling in the erate with the courts so they making everything hard that an Ciling in the erate "Court" they are the court of the provision of the provision



